

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

REC'D 10 MAY 2005

WIPO

PCT

PCT

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

To:

YOON, Jee Hong

Hannuri Bldg.
219 Naeja-dong, Chongno-gu, Seoul 110-053 Republic of
Korea

Date of mailing
(day/month/year) 28 APRIL 2005 (28.04.2005)

Applicant's or agent's file reference
FE251555

FOR FURTHER ACTION

See paragraph 2 below

International application No.

PCT/KR2005/000143

International filing date (day/month/year)

14 JANUARY 2005 (14.01.2005)

Priority date(day/month/year)

15 JANUARY 2004 (15.01.2004)

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC

IPC7 H04B 7/155

Applicant

UTStarcom Korea Limited et al

1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

- ☒ Box No. I Basis of the opinion
- ☐ Box No. II Priority
- ☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention
- ☒ Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited
- ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application
- ☒ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

2. FURTHER ACTION

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.
For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA/KR



Korean Intellectual Property Office
920 Dunsan-dong, Seo-gu, Daejeon 302-701,
Republic of Korea

Facsimile No. 82-42-472-7140

Authorized officer

JANG, JIN HWAN

Telephone No. 82-42-481-5711



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/KR2005/000143

Box No. I Basis of this opinion

1. With regard to the **language**, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.

☐ This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language _____, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).

2. With regard to any **nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence** disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:

a. type of material

- ☐ a sequence listing
☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing

b. format of material

- ☐ in written format
☐ in computer readable form

c. time of filing/furnishing

- ☐ contained in the international application as filed.
☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.

3. ☐ In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.

4. Additional comments:

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.

PCT/KR2005/000143

**Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;
citations and explanations supporting such statement**

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Claims	1-2	YES
	Claims	NONE	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	1-2	YES
	Claims	NONE	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-2	YES
	Claims	NONE	NO

2. Citations and explanations :

1. Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: US 2003/0063616 (Sung-Hoon Lee) 03 April 2003

D2: T.H. La porta, et. al., "Distributed Call Processing for personal Communications Services",
Communication Magazine, IEEE volume 33, Issue 6, June 1995, pages 66-75

D3: M.K. Kwang, "Analysis of call processing capacity of base station in CDMA cellular network",
vehicular Technology Conference 1999, VTC 1999-Fall IEEE VTS 50th volume 2 19-22 Sept. 1999
pages 1278-1282

2. Novelty (PCT Article 33(2))

Claim 1-2 of the present invention are considered to be novel compared to the inventions disclosed in D1~D3.

3. Inventive step (PCT Article 33(3))

The subject matter of Claim 1-2 meets the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a configuration method between OA and MMCA including inputting request for connection(or disconnecton) from CCP to ASB, connecting(or disconnecting) path between OA and MMCA, reporting above operation to CCP.

4. Industrial Applicability(PCT Article 33(4))

The invention disclosed in Claim 1-2 of the present application is considered to be industrially applicable.

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.

PCT/KR2005/000143

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

The description of the present application is not clear enough for the invention to be carried out by a person skilled in the art : The vague and imprecise statement in description on page 1~3 implies that the subject-matter for which protection is sought may be unclear.

The technical meaning of the terms "CCP", "MCCA", "HSTB" and "Second Step:setting independent connection between OA and MMCA" are not described in detail.